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## Executive Compensation Benchmarking

A Common Practice
A Compares typical elements of pay within a peer group
$>$ Salary
> Annual Incentive
> Long Term Incentive
$>$ Equity
A ISS conducts its own benchmarking based on its own peer group formulation
$>$ Opines on pay practices and advised institutional investors on approval or disapproval in proxy voting
A Def 14A Filing
$>$ Boards disclose who their peers are, how they get data, and who advises them

## What's Missing in Traditional Benchmarking?

A The value of executive benefits
$>$ Company contributions to qualified and nonqualified plans (401k and 409A)

A Why?
> Compensation consultants have never been able to quantify the value of benefits
> Couldn't calculate the outcomes of contributions at retirement
A Result:
> Compensation Committees don't actively include benefits in their Total Rewards strategy
$>$ Over allocation to company stock in executive portfolios
A Our View:
$>$ If benefits aren't compensation, what are they?

## What's New?

A Finally benefits and their outcomes can be measured
$>$ Without costly customized work by pension actuaries
A New way to measure Total Rewards
A New way to empower HR professionals, compensation committees, plan participants
$>$ Able to calculate the present and future value of a career
$>$ Quantify an under recognized pay element

# EXECUTIVE RETIREMENT 

## What We See

## Why Benchmark Executive Retirement?

A Total rewards can't be measured without data
A Benchmarking proves there is no rational, consistent approach to executive retirement
> Natural result of ignoring the space
A Peer group companies tend to fall into one of three categories:
$>$ Outdated, expensive, legacy plans
$>$ No retirement strategy at all
$>$ A balanced strategy incorporated into long term incentive plan

## RECENT CASE STUDY

## Executive Data Review: Peer Group Observations

A Revenue
$>$ Range: $\$ 1.5 \mathrm{~B}$ to $\$ 6.2 \mathrm{~B}$
> Median: \$3.3B
Client revenue is near median at $\$ 3.5 \mathrm{~B}$

A Profitability
$>$ Profitability range: $(-\$ 137 \mathrm{M})$ to $\$ 365 \mathrm{M}$
$>$ Median income: \$154M
All peer group companies were profitable during the last reporting year, except one (-\$137M)

## Executive Data Review: Peer Group Observations (cont'd)

A Total Shareholder Return
$>1$ year TSR ranges widely: (-61\%) to 18\%
$>1$ year TSR median: (-3.15\%)
> 3 year TSR range: (-2\%) to 452\%
$>3$ year TSR median: 66.75\%

A Employees
$>$ Range: 1,900 - 26,000
> Median employee count: 10,550

## Executive Data Review: Chief Executive Officer

A Cash Compensation:
> Median Salary: \$892,908
$>$ Median Target NEIP: \$920,000
$>$ Median Total Cash: \$1,849,936
$>$ Total Cash as a \% of Salary: $231.3 \%$
a Total Cash Compensation Low and High:
> \$675,000 (salary only)
$>$ \$1,226,081 (salary + NEIP)
$>$ \$3,415,825 (304.6\% of salary)
A Total Cash Compensation Percentile (\% of salary):

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
90^{\text {th }}: & 304.3 \% \\
75^{\text {th }}: & 287.1 \% \\
\text { Median: } & 231.3 \% \\
25^{\text {th }}: & 188.0 \% \\
10^{\text {th }}: & 150.5 \%
\end{array}
$$

## Executive Data Review : CEO (cont'd)

## a Plan Based Equity Awards

> Options (Time Vest)

- Seven companies offer stock options
- Median award: \$297,428
> Stock (Time Vest)
- Six companies offer time vested stock
- Median award: \$115,635
> Stock (Performance)
- Eight companies offer performance based stock awards
- Median award: \$1,787,921
> Median stock award: \$2,933,916
> Median Total Equity Awards: \$3,215,226
> Median Total Equity Awards (\% of salary): 325.1\%
A Plan-Based Equity Awards Percentiles (\% of salary):
90 ${ }^{\text {th }}: 720.7 \%$
$75^{\text {th }}$ : $527.2 \%$
Median: 325.1\%
$25^{\text {th }}: 193.7 \%$
$10^{\text {th }}$ : $78.4 \%$


## Executive Data Review : CEO (cont'd)

## A Average Annual Retirement Value

$>$ Six companies appear to have incorporated retirement into Total Rewards
$>$ Six companies appear to offer only a $401(\mathrm{k})$ match or a $409(\mathrm{~A})$ match or restoration
$>$ The peer group has a typically wide dispersion of contributions

## A Retirement Compensation in Summary

> These values are widely disbursed

- Change in pension value can be quite large due to changes in actuarial assumptions
- Values range between zero and an increase of over $\$ 3.7$ million
$>$ Total Retirement (change in pension, plus current contributions)

$$
\begin{array}{rccc}
90^{\text {th }}: & \$ 1,153,695 & \text { (116.6\% salary) } \\
75^{\text {th }}: & \$ 836,450 & \text { (98.3\% salary) } \\
\text { Median: } & \$ & 546,723 & \text { (54.8\% salary) } \\
25^{\text {th }}: & \$ & 81,266 & \text { (9\% salary) } \\
10^{\text {th }}: & \$ & 17,353 & \text { (2.2\% salary) }
\end{array}
$$

PARTNERS

Retirement Compensation in Summary: CEO


A Career Retirement Benefit
$>$ Retirement Income Replacement Ratio (RIRR) based on current plan design, age and years of service
$>$ RIRR is a life annuity expressed as a percentage of total cash compensation projected at age 65

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
\text { CEO median age: } & 61 \\
\text { Median PV of retirement plans: } & \$ 1,654,726 \\
\text { Median YOS: } & 25 \\
\text { RIRR at age 65: } & 40.8 \%
\end{array}
$$

Note: Wide dispersion caused by legacy defined benefit plans
$\mathfrak{A}$ RIRR at age 65 (\% of cash comp)

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
90^{\text {th }}: & 59.8 \% \\
75^{\text {th }}: & 47.3 \% \\
\text { Median: } & 40.8 \% \\
25^{\text {th }}: & 11.6 \% \\
10^{\text {th }}: & 2.7 \%
\end{array}
$$

## Career Retirement Benefit (RIRR): $C E O$



## A Long Term Incentive Pay Mix

$\Rightarrow$ Mean Values
Performance stock: 47.1\%
Time vested stock: $24.3 \%$
Stock options: $16.8 \%$
Long term NEIP: $11.8 \%$
$>$ The most common combination

- Performance stock
- Stock options
$>2^{\text {nd }}$ most common
- Performance stock only
$>3^{\text {rd }}$ most common
- Performance stock
- Time vested stock
- Stock options


# A Wealth Accumulation Pay Mix (WAP) 

$>$ LTI + retirement contributions
> Mean Values:
Performance stock: 40.9\%
Time vested stock: 20.3\%
Retirement contributions: 17.2\%
Stock options: 12.7\%
Long term NEIP: 8.9\%
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## Case Study Summary

A Peer Benchmarking for retirement contributions and retirement income replacement ratios tells a whole new story about Total Rewards

A Exposes imbalances
A Reveals opportunities
$>$ Negotiation
$>$ Target Benefit
$>$ Attraction
$>$ Retention
丞 Reorganize incentive compensation to longer term value creation

## ACTION for COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

## Action for Compensation Committee \& Human Resources

1. Know your retirement data
$>$ What is your CEO's career RIRR?
$>$ Where is he/she positioned within the peer group?
$>$ How does he/she feel about retirement cash flow?
$>$ What is he/she willing to trade for it?
2. Develop a retirement strategy
$>$ No strategy is a strategy
$>$ Target income replacement
$>$ Incentive diversification
$>$ Compensation Risk (cost) Reduction
3. Call for help

## Executive Compensation Benchmarking

## A Your Questions?

## Suggestions for future topics:

Rosemary Murphy-Harris, CPA VP, Executive Deferred Compensation ADP, Inc.
Rosemary.Murphy-Harris@adp.com Phone: (206) 654-8014


